

Biden Babble, the Nuclear Mushroom, and Violence Against Women Act

By Harry Crouch

October 2008

In 1990 Senate hearings Vice Presidential candidate Senator Joseph Robinette Biden revealed,

“In my house, being raised with a sister and three brothers, there was an absolute – it was a nuclear sanction, if under any circumstances, for any reason, no matter how justified, even self-defense – if you ever touched your sister, not figuratively, literally. My sister, who is my best friend, my campaign manager, my confidante, grew up with absolute impunity in our household... And I have the bruises to prove it. I mean that sincerely. I am not exaggerating when I say that.”

Like so many chivalrous male victims Biden defends his abusers even having suffered “nuclear sanction”. I say “abusers” because someone in his immediate family other than his sister must have rendered the mushrooming catastrophic punishments. Even so, Biden’s sister Valerie Biden Owens had “absolute impunity” giving her license to abuse at will without fear of penalty.

Valerie’s “absolute impunity” tragically lives through one of the most destructive pieces of Federal legislation ever envisioned, the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA).

Consider infamous [Mary Winkler](#) who recently escaped the death penalty or meaningful prison term for murdering her husband Mathew

by shooting him in the back. Wrenched with pain it took Mathew 20 minutes to die. Mary watched, made no effort to call 911, and later played the VAWA protected “the abuse excuse” card. Other than Mary’s testimony there was no evidence to support her claims of being abused. Matthew Winkler's oldest daughter, Patricia, said her father was a good man and did not abuse her mother. Now free, the Courts gave Winkler custody of her children, thereby denying the children’s wish to live with their grandparents rather than the woman who murdered their father in cold blood.

Valerie has to be a driving force behind Biden’s obsessive championing of the VAWA. Not only because of questionable values learned during childhood, but she also serves as a [“volunteer political trainer for Women’s Campaign International](#), a non-profit organization dedicated to the empowerment of women in the world’s emerging democracies, and she’s on the national board of the [DNC Women’s Leadership Forum”](#).

Regardless of danger victims of Sweden’s 1973 Norrmalmstorg bank robbery showed loyalty to their hostage-takers, a psychological process known as the Stockholm Syndrome. Long after Patty Hurst’s abduction by the Symbionese Liberation Army (SLA) [she told Larry King during an interview](#) that,

"You have been so abused and so robbed of your free will and so frightened that you believe - you come to a point where you believe any lie your abductor has told you."

Could it be that Biden is a sympathetic hostage turned true believer to an abusive past exploited by those he considers like-minded allies;

here, trusted family members and misandric, ideologically driven, Domestic Violence Industry (DV Industry) stakeholders dependent upon VAWA dollars and other taxpayer funding?

Errin Pizzey is generally credited as the founder of the domestic violence movement, as well as opening the first women's shelter, the Chiswick Women's Refuge, in London in 1971. She soon realized there were as many violent women as there were men, a fact now proven by a plethora of worldwide research, as found in California State University's Professor [Martin Fiebert's bibliography](#) of over 170 academic studies and published papers. There is no *reliable* data to the contrary on the planet – none, regardless of DV Industry hyperbole and propaganda.

Three years before President Clinton signed Biden's VAWA bill into law Pizzey explained in David Thomas' book [Not Guilty: The Case in Defense of Men](#) (1993) the reason the feminist movement resists recognizing abused men:

"There's a lot of money in hating men, particularly in the United States-- millions of dollars. It isn't a politically good idea to threaten the huge budgets for women's refuges by saying that some of the women who go into them aren't total victims. Anyway, the activists aren't there to help women come to terms with what's happening in their lives. They're there to fund their budgets, their conferences, their traveling abroad, and their statements against men" (Thomas, pp. 186-87).

Coral Davenport, Staff Writer for CQPolitics wrote in [Healing Clinton-Obama Rift Just Not About Bad Feelings](#), that "Together, [EMILY's List](#),

the [Feminist Majority](#), the [NARAL](#) political action committee, the [NOW political action committee](#), [Planned Parenthood](#) and [Women Count](#) have raised over \$27.5 million for Democratic candidates thus far in the election cycle,” all examples of innumerable wealthy VAWA support organizations.

In one of my recent e-mails a DV Industry victim put it this way when explaining to another DV Industry victim how things work under VAWA and his continuing DV Industry saga,

“You are beyond that point and the Court System/Victim Industry is completely sold out to women's advocates, you (as a man) have no sanctuary or friends in the SYSTEM, not even the ones you hire. No "therapist/counselors" make enough money doing evaluations to keep their BUSINESS going. They are all members of the United Way/VAWA/DV feed trough..... Any buckling with that party line and that therapist would be kicked to the curb faster than John Edwards after a hot date.”

VAWA largess feeds tens of thousands of attorneys, including even public defenders creating an instant conflict of interest. The money directly or indirectly creates tens of thousands of extremely well paid, comprehensive benefits, early retirement, short workweek soft-jobs in Family Justice Centers, child support services conglomerates, child visitation centers, child abuse consortiums, animal shelters (for victims with pets that witnessed the alleged abuse), juvenile dependency courts, family courts, and a plethora of other employers, largely

governments and nonprofit organizations, all dependent upon buckling to the party line.

So, (1) by his own admission Biden may have grown up in an abusive home (2) his sister had impunity from any abuse she committed (3) she is one of Biden's closest advisors and high ranking feminist operative (4) VAWA and the Domestic Violence Industries are primarily driven by the quest for more money - power and control (5) there are arguably as many or more abused men than women (6) someone's lying.

Lie: "false statement made with deliberate intent to deceive; an intentional untruth; a falsehood."

DV Industry operatives knew early on that as many or more men were abused by women than women by men. Yet, imperative to the success of the radical feminist movement was the lie that all men were perpetrators and all women victims.

Lesbian researcher and scholar Janice Ristock in her landmark work "[No More Secrets: Violence in Lesbian Relationships](#)" (2002) explains, "*...we were often worried about causing more harm to lesbians and to feminism by speaking about the issue to publicly.*" Sixty-six percent of the lesbians in Ristock's survey responded that they knew lesbians who had been in abusive relationships. (page X). Ristock observed that,

"Within the women's movement, there have been two main forms of resistance to examining abuse in lesbian relationships: one stems from the desire to keep a focus on male violence, thereby minimizing

women's violence; the other arises from the fear that this issue will create a backlash against feminism and lesbians. The ideology that only men were violent was adopted by the radical feminist culture of the 1970's... Perhaps the biggest source of resistance from feminist communities in general is that acknowledging lesbian abuse will threaten a dominant feminist analysis of violence against women in intimate relationships, which most often assumes a male perpetrator..." (pages 3-5).

Obviously women-to-women violence brings into question radical feminist theories blaming everything from scratchy toilet paper to global warming on patriarchy and misogyny.

Aside from fears of revealing lesbian-on-lesbian violence radical feminists had to silence activists like Errin Pizzey. In 1982, Pizzey and Jeff Shapiro coauthored the book [Prone to Violence](#), about abusive and violent women. Pizzey reported that the book enraged feminists to the extent that her life was threatened.

Dr. Richard Gelles is one of the world's premier family violence researchers. In [The Hidden Side of Domestic Violence: Male Victims](#), originally published in The Women's Quarterly, 1999, Gelles explained that ,

"Two years after my initial study of family violence, the American Sociological Association included a session on "Family Violence" as part of the association's annual meeting program. This was the first time this scholarly association had devoted precious meeting time and space to this topic... uninvited, I went to the session

anyway... Much of the session focused on the application of feminist theory, or patriarchy theory, to explaining the extent and patterns of violence towards wives... eventually someone raised the question of whether men were victims of domestic violence. The session leaders and many others in the group stated, categorically, there were no male victims of domestic violence... I explained that I had indeed interviewed men and women who reported significant and sometimes severe violence toward husbands...

Gelles subsequently teamed up in 1976 with colleagues Murry Straus and Suzanne Steinmetz to conduct the First National Family Violence Survey. After interviewing 2,143 family members they found that the rate of adult female-to-adult male intimate violence was the same as the rate of male-to-female violence. Gelles recounted,

“Not only that but the rate of abusive female-to-male violence was the same as the rate of abusive male-to-female violence. When my colleague Murray Straus presented these findings in 1977 at a conference on the subject of battered women, he was nearly hooted and booed from the stage... my colleague Suzanne Steinmetz published a scholarly article, “The battered husband syndrome,” in 1978... (which) produced heated scholarly criticism, (and) intense and long-lasting personal attacks. All three of us received death threats. Bomb threats were phoned in to conference centers and buildings where we were scheduled to present. Suzanne received the brunt of the attacks—individuals wrote and called her university urging that she be denied tenure; calls were made and letters were written to government agencies

urging that her grant finding be rescinded. All three of us became "non persons" among advocates. Invitations to conferences dwindled and dried up. Advocacy literature and feminist writing would cite our research, but not attribute it to us. Librarians publicly stated they would not order or shelve our books."

Clearly, the preservation of the myth upon which VAWA is based – only men perpetrate violence and only women are victims – was so central to DV Industry and radical feminist operatives that they committed violent terrorist acts against those expressing contrary views. Additionally, they well knew that woman-to-woman violence was common.

Lie in one's throat or teeth: to lie grossly or maliciously: If she told you exactly the opposite of what she told me, she must be lying in her teeth. Also, **lie through one's teeth."**

To believe that Biden and his staff did not understand the truth prior to championing VAWA in 1994 is to believe that they are not just ignorant, but stupid, which they are not. He and his staff have received hundreds if not thousands of letters, e-mails, and other communications from respected individuals, scholars and organizations explaining that both men and women can be perpetrators and victims of domestic violence. Associates of mine have told me about private conversations with Biden concerning VAWA and abused men. One associate was even in the Constitutional Law class Biden taught at Widener University School of Law in which related issues were discussed. Educational materials have been hand carried to Biden's Washington D.C. offices. So, if Biden's not ignorant or stupid what is he? And, why is he so strongly

against helping abused men? Why does he perpetuate the lie, even if only by omission and misrepresentation?

Could it really be as simple as growing up in an abusive home? If so, who in the home delivered the “nuclear sanctions”? Is he projecting some hidden shame? Is it mere chivalry? Is his sister pulling his strings re VAWA? Like Patty Hearst has he been brainwashed to believe the lies of his youth and political captors? Or, along with one or more of those possibilities is Biden simply a political opportunist who sold his soul a long time ago to radical feminism in return for their support to higher political office than the Senate? Who knows? I don’t, but Biden clearly has little or no regard for literally millions of VAWA ruined families, men, women, and children, even if he’s a true believer.

Conservative columnist Carey Roberts in [*The ABA Goes to the Dark Side*](#) wrote,

“The domestic violence industry is one of the most corrupt and unaccountable enterprises in modern-day America. Every year it sucks over \$1 billion from the federal treasury and ships the money to a variety of radical feminist organizations dedicated to revamping the family unit... the Violence Against Women Act, domestic violence programs encourage women to file false allegations, strip fit fathers of their natural right to parent, and doom kids to live in a single-parent household...”

Robert’s sentiments are confirmed by a host of others including the highly regarded international organization [Respecting Accuracy in Domestic Abuse Reporting](#) (RADAR) and it’s over 100 co-sponsoring organizations from around the world. For over two years RADAR has

been investigating and reporting on VAWA and the horrendously debilitating impact of this truly ill conceived and corrupt legislation. Their “**Reform VAWA Now**” campaign helped in stopping one of Biden’s recent assaults on the family, men, women, and children – the [National Domestic Violence Volunteer Attorney Act](#) (NDVVAA), though for sure it will be re salted and upchucked at Congress next session.

The NDVVAA provides tens of millions of dollars to DV Industry operatives for training 100,000 attorneys to help supposedly abused women - more aptly, instill into attorneys’ psyches radical feminist misandric ideology. Fully supported by a haughty pro-women and [anti-male American Bar Association](#) the NDVVAA would have been a huge boon to DV Industries, including creating more soft-jobs for new feminist warrior attorneys armed with undergraduate degrees in women studies; more aptly, *WoMEN StuDIEs*.

A Congressional staffer reported to RADAR that this threat to NDVVAA was a first since pro-women legislation historically sails through unchallenged with overwhelming partisan support.

Thanks in large part to radical feminism’s war on men and politicians like Biden the DV Industry is now one of America’s biggest exports. In England [women who kill abusive partners in cold blood](#) could escape a murder conviction with yet another abuse-excuse if they prove they simply feared more violence, but not men. Sociologist Jiri Burianek, [co-author of a domestic violence survey and head of the sociology studies at Prague's Charles University Faculty of Arts](#), said “... surveys conducted abroad showing that both genders have more or less equal experience with domestic violence have been warning us for a long time men are often more vulnerable than women since there is actually

nobody to help them, while women have dozens of non-profit organizations to protect them... Men have nobody to turn to..." In Britain the [Ipswich Evening Star reported a 20% increase of abused men](#). This year the [Subcommittee on the Family Crisis](#) in Israel ignited a stormy clash between government representatives and rights groups. In India [men's rights groups say they are tormented](#) by vicious and vengeful women misusing pro-women laws. [Sweden, Denmark, Norway all have commissions](#) to abolish domestic violence against women, but not men. Thorkild Vestergaard-Hansen, who heads Mandecentret said, "[It's crazy that the men's crisis centres don't receive the same amount of subsidies as the 40 or so women's shelters.](#)" In [Costa Rica a man can be tossed out of his own home](#) by his wife or girlfriend merely alleging abuse, like raising his voice. A police officer said: "Women in Costa Rica are taking advantage of this new law. They throw out their boyfriend and then steal their things and leave"; no evidence required – just like anywhere in America. Among many other countries, [Ghana has "Domestic Violence Victim Support Units"](#), but this third world country seems to be getting it right. When asked if the Domestic Violence Bill has helped, a program representative said, "[Y]es and no because the bill is gender neutral so it is there to protect everybody, but without resources like education and money, the office can do little to make a real difference for victims of domestic violence..." [Even so now more men are being beaten by their wives](#)... Inspector Oppong said most male victims who reported the cases to the (DV) Unit, had marks of assault on their bodies...their wives resorted to the use of objects to assault them.

The situation in the Czech Republic reminded me of attending volunteer training for President Bush's first [Family Justice Center](#) which

opened in San Diego a few years back. About a dozen senior Czech judges were touring the new facility. I believe Ms. Gael Strack, Center Director, chaperoned. She began introducing us to the judges. I was last to be introduced, go figure? Gael cleared her throat, thought how best to introduce me, and said something like, “AND...um, this is [Harry Crouch](#) - Men’s Rights Advocate.” The one male judge in the group said something indiscernible in Czech, developed a tremendously broad smile, rose on tiptoes high above his shorter female counterparts, extended his arm as high as he could stretch it, enthusiastically waived back and forth a thumbs-up like his 60 to one long-shot just paid off, and loudly proclaimed, “RIGHT ON!” Everyone gasped, chuckled, laughed, applauded, and for the briefest of time our opposing views wondrously blended with humanity across international and ideological boundaries.

Regardless if Biden has his way gender inclusive programs like Ghana’s will be dead before they have a chance to spread meaningful solutions throughout the world. Last year Biden introduced the “groundbreaking” [International Violence Against Women Act](#) offering to export even more destruction across the globe.

Biden isn’t shy about his blatant gender bias. Listen to his “End Domestic Violence” speech found at the bottom of the page at “[On a more personal note](#)” in which Biden loudly exclaims,

“If I could change the attitudes of anyone I wouldn’t change the attitudes of men - but women, to understand that no man has the right to raise his hand to any woman under any circumstances – any, none, none, none, NONE!”

I'd like to see Biden explain that to the abused men I work with almost every day, including a man who was getting ready to go on a motorcycle ride with his brother, sister-in-law, and friend when his Karate trained wife stormed in with closed fist and landed a haymaker to his chin, pushed him against a wall, kneed him five times in the groin, and left deep cuts and welts on his neck and face when trying to gouge out his eyes. Culturally brainwashed like Biden and the rest of us guys, he did not hit her, though had he done so he may not have been so seriously injured. She was arrested, not prosecuted, and received no sanction whatsoever - typical. The San Diego City Attorney's Office found witness declarations not credible. Both his brother and sister-in-law are highly respected medical doctors. His brother also has a law degree. Both witnessed the beating and submitted their "not credible" declarations. Had the roles been reversed the husband would have languished in jail. All thanks to VAWA and ilk.

While it's a stretch, under the circumstances I wonder how Biden would feel about a soldier taking down a female suicide bomber to stop mass murder, a worse form of domestic violence for sure.

How about woman-on-woman violence? If you read Ristock you discover such wicked women are really creative in their forms of abuse, disgustingly so. How come we don't hear Biden passionately saying "*...no woman has the right to raise her hand to any woman under any circumstances – any, none, none, none, NONE!*" Or, no woman has the right to raise her hand to a man, a child... How come he doesn't talk about that if he's truly interested in ending domestic violence? How come, indeed?

Shouldn't we change the attitudes of everyone - men, women, Biden and VAWA's Fe-Me-Ist friends - to understand that no person has the right to raise his hand in anger to anyone except in self-defense, regardless of gender? Indeed, we should.

Oh, and the National Organization of Women's (NOW) Defense and Education Fund, their legal advocacy organization dedicated to advancing the rights of women and girls, along with NOW proper, apparently wrote VAWA. Biden championed the vicious monster after his staff drafted the misandric work into a piece of legislation. He is not the author of VAWA as he claims; only the royal messenger turned chivalrous champion; or, fool, to the substantial detriment of humankind.

Fool-noun (1) a person who lacks judgment or sense (2) a person who has been tricked or deceived into appearing or acting silly or stupid: to make a fool of someone (3) *an ardent enthusiast who cannot resist an opportunity to indulge an enthusiasm*: He's usually a dancing fool.

The Nuclear Mushroom up Biden's VAWA

In 1990 Senate hearings Vice Presidential candidate Senator Joseph Robinette Biden revealed,

"In my house, being raised with a sister and three brothers, there was an absolute – it was a nuclear sanction, if under any circumstances, for any reason, no matter how justified, even self-defense – if you ever touched your sister, not figuratively, literally. My sister, who is my best friend, my campaign manager, my confidante, grew up with absolute

impunity in our household... And I have the bruises to prove it. I mean that sincerely. I am not exaggerating when I say that."

Like so many chivalrous male victims Biden defends his abusers even having suffered "nuclear sanction". I say "abusers" because someone in his immediate family other than his sister must have rendered the mushrooming catastrophic punishments. Even so, Biden's sister Valerie Biden Owens had "absolute impunity" giving her license to abuse at will without fear of penalty.

Valerie's "absolute impunity" tragically lives through one of the most destructive pieces of Federal legislation ever envisioned, the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA).

Consider infamous [Mary Winkler](#) who recently escaped the death penalty or meaningful prison term for murdering her husband Mathew by shooting him in the back. Wrenched with pain it took Mathew 20 minutes to die. Mary watched, made no effort to call 911, and later played "the abuse excuse" card. Other than Mary's testimony there was no evidence to support her claims of being abused. *Matthew Winkler's oldest daughter, Patricia, said her father was a good man and did not abuse her mother.* Now free, the Courts even gave Winkler custody of her children, thereby denying their understandable wish to live with their grandparents rather than the woman who murdered their father in cold blood.

Valerie has to be a driving force behind Biden's obsessive championing of the VAWA. Not only because of questionable values learned during childhood, but she also serves as a "[volunteer political trainer for Women's Campaign International](#)", a non-profit organization dedicated

to the empowerment of women in the world's emerging democracies, and she currently serves on the national board of the [DNC Women's Leadership Forum](#)".

Regardless of danger victims of Sweden's 1973 Norrmalmstorg bank robbery showed loyalty to their hostage-takers, a psychological process now known as the Stockholm Syndrome. Long after Patty Hurst's abduction by the Symbionese Liberation Army (SLA) [she told Larry King during an interview](#) that,

"You have been so abused and so robbed of your free will and so frightened that you believe - you come to a point where you believe any lie your abductor has told you."

Could it be that Biden is a sympathetic hostage turned true believer to an abusive past exploited by those he considers like-minded allies; here, trusted family members and misandric, ideologically driven, Domestic Violence Industry (DV Industry) stakeholders dependent upon VAWA dollars and other taxpayer funding?

Errin Pizzey is generally credited as the founder of the domestic violence movement, as well as opening the first women's shelter, the Chiswick Women's Refuge, in London in 1971. She soon realized there were as many violent women as there were men, a fact now proven by a plethora of worldwide research, as found in California State University's Professor [Martin Fiebert's bibliography](#) of over 170 academic studies and published papers. There is no *reliable* contrary data in the universe – none, regardless of DV Industry hyperbole and propaganda.

Three years before President Clinton signed Biden's VAWA bill into law Pizzey explained in David Thomas' book [Not Guilty: The Case in Defense of Men](#) (1993) the reason the feminist movement resists recognizing abused men:

"There's a lot of money in hating men, particularly in the United States--millions of dollars. It isn't a politically good idea to threaten the huge budgets for women's refuges by saying that some of the women who go into them aren't total victims. Anyway, the activists aren't there to help women come to terms with what's happening in their lives. They're there to fund their budgets, their conferences, their traveling abroad, and their statements against men" (Thomas, pp. 186-87).

Coral Davenport, Staff Writer for CQPolitics wrote in [Healing Clinton-Obama Rift Just Not About Bad Feelings](#), that "Together, [EMILY's List](#), the [Feminist Majority](#), the [NARAL](#) political action committee, the [NOW political action committee](#), [Planned Parenthood](#) and [Women Count](#) have raised over \$27.5 million for Democratic candidates thus far in the election cycle," all examples of innumerable wealthy VAWA support organizations.

In one of my recent e-mails a DV Industry victim put it this way when explaining to another DV Industry victim how things work under VAWA and his continuing DV Industry saga,

"You are beyond that point and the Court System/Victim Industry is completely sold out to women's advocates, you (as a man) have no sanctuary or friends in the SYSTEM, not even the ones you hire. No

"therapist/counselors" make enough money doing evaluations to keep their BUSINESS going. They are all members of the United Way/VAWA/DV feed trough..... Any buckling with that party line and that therapist would be kicked to the curb faster than John Edwards after a hot date."

VAWA largess feeds tens of thousands of attorneys, including even public defenders creating an instant conflict of interest. The money directly or indirectly creates tens of thousands of extremely well paid, comprehensive benefits, early retirement, short workweek soft-jobs in Family Justice Centers, child support services conglomerates, child visitation centers, child abuse consortiums, animal shelters (for victims with pets that witnessed the alleged abuse), juvenile dependency courts, family courts, and a plethora of other employers, largely governments and nonprofit organizations, all dependent upon buckling to the party line.

So, (1) by his own admission Biden may have grown up in an abusive home (2) his sister had impunity from any abuse she committed (3) she is one of Biden's closest advisors and high ranking feminist operative (4) VAWA and the Domestic Violence Industries are primarily driven by the quest for more money - power and control (5) there are arguably as many or more abused men than women (6) someone's lying.

Lie: "false statement made with deliberate intent to deceive; an intentional untruth; a falsehood."

DV Industry operatives knew early on that as many or more men were abused by women than women by men. Yet, imperative to the success of the feminist movement was the lie that all men were perpetrators and all women victims.

Lesbian researcher and scholar Janice Ristock in her landmark work "[No More Secrets: Violence in Lesbian Relationships](#)" (2002) explains, "...we were often worried about causing more harm to lesbians and to feminism by speaking about the issue to publicly." Sixty-six percent of the lesbians in Ristock's survey responded that they knew lesbians who had been in abusive relationships. (page X). Ristock observed that,

"Within the women's movement, there have been two main forms of resistance to examining abuse in lesbian relationships: one stems from the desire to keep a focus on male violence, thereby minimizing women's violence; the other arises from the fear that this issue will create a backlash against feminism and lesbians. The ideology that only men were violent was adopted by the radical feminist culture of the 1970's... Perhaps the biggest source of resistance from feminist communities in general is that acknowledging lesbian abuse will threaten a dominant feminist analysis of violence against women in intimate relationships, which most often assumes a male perpetrator..." (pages 3-5).

Obviously women-to-women violence brings into question radical feminist theories blaming everything from scratchy toilet paper to global warming on patriarchy and misogyny.

Aside from fears of revealing lesbian-on-lesbian violence radical feminists had to silence activists like Errin Pizzey. In 1982, Pizzey and Jeff Shapiro coauthored the book [Prone to Violence](#), about abusive and violent women. Pizzey reported that the book enraged feminists to the extent that her life was threatened.

Dr. Richard Gelles is one of the world's premier family violence researchers. In [The Hidden Side of Domestic Violence: Male Victims](#), originally published in *The Women's Quarterly*, 1999, Gelles wrote,

“Two years after my initial study of family violence, the American Sociological Association included a session on “Family Violence” as part of the association’s annual meeting program. This was the first time this scholarly association had devoted precious meeting time and space to this topic... uninvited, I went to the session anyway... Much of the session focused on the application of feminist theory, or patriarchy theory, to explaining the extent and patterns of violence towards wives... eventually someone raised the question of whether men were victims of domestic violence. The session leaders and many others in the group stated, categorically, there were no male victims of domestic violence... I explained that I had indeed interviewed men and women who reported significant and sometimes severe violence toward husbands...

In order to build a more solid knowledge base and understanding of family violence, my colleagues Murray Straus and Suzanne Steinmetz and I conducted the First National Family Violence Survey in 1976. The survey interviewed a nationally representative sample of 2,143 individual family members... The most controversial finding... was that the rate of adult female-to-adult male intimate violence was the same

as the rate of male-to-female violence. Not only that but the rate of abusive female-to-male violence was the same as the rate of abusive male-to-female violence. When my colleague Murray Straus presented these findings in 1977 at a conference on the subject of battered women, he was nearly hooted and booed from the stage... my colleague Suzanne Steinmetz published a scholarly article, "The battered husband syndrome," in 1978...

The response to our finding that the rate of female-to-male family violence was equal to the rate of male-to-female violence not only produced heated scholarly criticism, but intense and long-lasting personal attacks. All three of us received death threats. Bomb threats were phoned in to conference centers and buildings where we were scheduled to present. Suzanne received the brunt of the attacks—individuals wrote and called her university urging that she be denied tenure; calls were made and letters were written to government agencies urging that her grant finding be rescinded. All three of us became "non persons" among advocates. Invitations to conferences dwindled and dried up. Advocacy literature and feminist writing would cite our research, but not attribute it to us. Librarians publicly stated they would not order or shelve our books."

Clearly, the preservation of the myth upon which VAWA is based – only men perpetrate violence and only women are victims – was so central to DV Industry and radical feminist operatives that they committed terrorist acts against those expressing contrary views. Additionally, these violent operatives well knew that woman-to-woman violence was common.

Lie in one's throat or teeth: to lie grossly or maliciously: If she told you exactly the opposite of what she told me, she must be lying in her teeth. Also, **lie through one's teeth.**”

To believe that Biden and his staff did not understand the truth prior to championing VAWA in 1994 is to believe that they are not just ignorant, but stupid, which they are not. He and his staff have received hundreds if not thousands of letters, e-mails, and other communications from respected individuals, scholars and organizations explaining that both men and women can be perpetrators and victims of domestic violence. Associates of mine have told me about private conversations with Biden concerning VAWA and abused men. One associate was even in the Constitutional Law class Biden taught at Widener University School of *Law in which related issues were discussed*. Educational materials have been hand carried to Biden’s Washington D.C. offices. So, if Biden’s not ignorant or stupid what is he? And, why is he so strongly against helping abused men? Why does he perpetuate the lie, even if only by omission and misrepresentation?

Could it really be as simple as growing up in an abusive home? If so, who in the home delivered the “nuclear sanctions”? Is he projecting some hidden shame? Is it mere chivalry? Is his sister pulling his strings re VAWA? Like Patty Hearst has he been brainwashed to believe the lies of his youth and political captors? Or, along with one or more of those possibilities is Biden simply a political opportunist who sold his soul a long time ago to radical feminism in return for their support to higher political office than the Senate? Who knows? I don’t, but he clearly has little or no regard for literally millions of VAWA ruined families, men,

women, and children, even if he's a true believer in DV Industry hyperbole.

Conservative columnist Carey Roberts in [The ABA Goes to the Dark Side](#) wrote,

“The domestic violence industry is one of the most corrupt and unaccountable enterprises in modern-day America. Every year it sucks over \$1 billion from the federal treasury and ships the money to a variety of radical feminist organizations dedicated to revamping the family unit... the Violence Against Women Act, domestic violence programs encourage women to file false allegations, strip fit fathers of their natural right to parent, and doom kids to live in a single-parent household...”

Robert's sentiments are confirmed by a host of others including the highly regarded international organization [Respecting Accuracy in Domestic Abuse Reporting](#) (RADAR) and it's over 100 co-sponsoring organizations from around the world. For over two years RADAR has been investigating and reporting on VAWA and the horrendously debilitating impact of this truly ill conceived and corrupt legislation. Their **“Reform VAWA Now”** campaign was instrumental in stopping one of Senator's Biden's most recent assaults on the family, men, women, and children – the [National Domestic Violence Volunteer Attorney Act](#) (NDVVAA), though for sure it will be re bundled and offered up to Congress next session.

The NDVVAA would have provide tens of millions of dollars to DV Industry operatives for training 100,000 attorneys to help supposedly abused women - more aptly, instill into the attorneys psyche anti-male

radical feminist ideology. Fully supported by a haughty pro-women and [anti-male American Bar Association](#) the NDVVAA would have been a huge boon to DV Industries, including creating jobs for new feminist warrior attorneys armed with undergraduate degrees in women studies; or, more aptly, *WoMEN StuDIEs*.

A Congressional staffer reported to RADAR that this threat to NDVVAA was a first since pro-women legislation historically sails through unchallenged with overwhelming partisan support.

Thanks in large part to radical feminism's war on men and politicians like Biden the DV Industry is now one of America's biggest exports. In England [women who kill abusive partners in cold blood](#) could escape a murder conviction with yet another abuse-excuse if they prove they simply feared more violence, but not men. Sociologist Jiri Burianek, [co-author of a domestic violence survey and head of the sociology studies at Prague's Charles University Faculty of Arts](#), said "... surveys conducted abroad showing that both genders have more or less equal experience with domestic violence have been warning us for a long time men are often more vulnerable than women since there is actually nobody to help them, while women have dozens of non-profit organizations to protect them... Men have nobody to turn to..." In Britain the [Ipswich Evening Star reported a 20% increase of abused men](#). This year the [Subcommittee on the Family Crisis](#) in Israel ignited a stormy clash between government representatives and rights groups. In India [men's rights groups say they are tormented](#) by vicious and vengeful women misusing pro-women laws. [Sweden, Denmark, Norway all have commissions](#) to abolish domestic violence against women, but not men. Thorkild Vestergaard-Hansen, who heads Mandecentret said,

["It's crazy that the men's crisis centres don't receive the same amount of subsidies as the 40 or so women's shelters."](#) In [Costa Rica a man can be tossed out of his own home](#) by his wife or girlfriend merely alleging abuse, like raising his voice. A police officer said: "Women in Costa Rica are taking advantage of this new law. They throw out their boyfriend and then steal their things and leave"; no evidence required – just like anywhere in America. Among many other countries, [Ghana has "Domestic Violence Victim Support Units"](#), but this third world country seems to be getting it right. When asked if the Domestic Violence Bill has helped, a program representative said, "[Y]es and no because the bill is gender neutral so it is there to protect everybody, but without resources like education and money, the office can do little to make a real difference for victims of domestic violence..." [Even so now more men are being beaten by their wives...](#) Inspector Oppong said most male victims who reported the cases to the (DV) Unit, had marks of assault on their bodies...their wives resorted to the use of objects to assault them.

The situation in the Czech Republic reminded me of attending volunteer training for President Bush's first [Family Justice Center](#) which opened in San Diego a few years back. About a dozen senior Czech judges were touring the new facility. I believe Ms. Gael Strack, Center Director, chaperoned. She began introducing us to the judges. I was last to be introduced, go figure? Gael cleared her throat, thought how best to introduce me, and said something like, "AND...um, this is [Harry Crouch](#) - Men's Rights Advocate." The one male judge in the group said something indiscernible in Czech, developed a tremendously broad smile, rose on tiptoes high above his shorter female counterparts, extended his arm as high as he could stretch it, enthusiastically waived

back and forth a thumbs-up like his 60 to one long-shot just paid off, and loudly proclaimed, “RIGHT ON!” Everyone gasped, chuckled, laughed, applauded, and for the briefest of time our opposing views wondrously blended with humanity across international and ideological boundaries.

Regardless if Biden has his way gender inclusive programs like Ghana’s will be dead before they have a chance to spread meaningful solutions throughout the world. Last year Biden introduced the “groundbreaking” [International Violence Against Women Act](#) offering to export even more destruction across the globe.

Biden isn’t shy about his blatant gender bias. Listen to his “End Domestic Violence” speech found at the bottom of the page at [On a more personal note](#)” in which Biden loudly exclaims,

“If I could change the attitudes of anyone I wouldn’t change the attitudes of men - but women, to understand that no man has the right to raise his hand to any woman under any circumstances – any, none, none, none, NONE!”

I’d like to see Biden explain that to the abused men I work with almost every day, including a man who was getting ready to go on a motorcycle ride with his brother, sister-in-law, and friend when his Karate trained wife stormed in with closed fist and landed a haymaker to his chin, pushed him against a wall, kneed him five times in the groin, and left deep cuts and welts on his neck and face when trying to gouge out his eyes. Culturally brainwashed like Biden and the rest of us guys, he did not hit her, though had he done so he may not have been so seriously injured. She was arrested, not prosecuted, and received no

sanction whatsoever - typical. The San Diego City Attorney's Office found witness declarations not credible. Both his brother and sister-in-law are highly respected medical doctors. His brother also has a law degree. Both witnessed the beating and submitted their "not credible" declarations. Had the roles been reversed the husband would have languished in jail. All thanks to VAWA and ilk.

While it's a stretch, under the circumstances I wonder how Biden would feel about a soldier taking down a female suicide bomber to stop mass murder, a worse form of domestic violence for sure.

How about woman-on-woman violence? If you read Ristock you discover such wicked women are really creative in their forms of abuse, disgustingly so. How come we don't hear Biden passionately saying "*...no woman has the right to raise her hand to any woman under any circumstances – any, none, none, none, NONE!*" Or, no woman has the right to raise her hand to a man, a child... How come he doesn't talk about that if he's truly interested in ending domestic violence? How come, indeed?

Shouldn't we change the attitudes of everyone - men, women, Biden and VAWA's Fe-Me-Ist friends - to understand that no person has the right to raise his hand in anger to anyone except in self-defense, regardless of gender? Indeed, we should.

Oh, and the National Organization of Women's (NOW) Defense and Education Fund, their legal advocacy organization dedicated to advancing the rights of women and girls, along with NOW proper, apparently wrote VAWA. Biden championed the vicious monster after his staff drafted the misandric work into a piece of legislation. He is not

the author of VAWA as he claims; only the royal messenger turned chivalrous champion; or, fool, to the substantial detriment of humankind.

Fool-noun (1) a person who lacks judgment or sense (2) a person who has been tricked or deceived into appearing or acting silly or stupid: to make a fool of someone (3) *an ardent enthusiast who cannot resist an opportunity to indulge an enthusiasm*: He's usually a dancing fool.

Violence is not gender specific. Like many people, Biden doesn't get it. Regardless, it's disgusting that mothers and fathers don't defend their sons. Dance on...